Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 194719 ORIGIN NEA-10

INFO OCT-01 AF-10 EUR-12 ISO-00 SP-02 ICA-11 AID-05 EB-08 NSC-05 TRSE-00 SS-15 STR-07 OMB-01 CEA-01 COME-00 L-03 H-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 PA-01 SIG-03 XMB-02 /108 R

DRAFTED BY NEA - WDWOLLE:KMS
APPROVED BY NEA/RA - WDOZIER
COMM/DAS/TR -SJMARCUSS
COMM/ITA/BED/CAGNE - JBHEARN
NEA/RA - REDAY

-----054253 022049Z /61

R 021659Z AUG 78

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY ABU DHABI

AMEMBASSY ALGIERS

AMEMBASSY AMMAN

USINT BAGHDAD

AMEMBASSY CAIRO

AMEMBASSY DOHA

AMEMBASSY JIDDA

AMEMBASSY KHARTOUM

AMEMBASSY KUWAIT

AMEMBASSY MANAMA

AMEMBASSY MUSCAT

AMEMBASSY RABAT

AMEMBASSY SANA

AMEMBASSY TRIPOLI

AMEMBASSY TUNIS

AMCONSUL ALEXANDRIA

AMCONSUL DHAHRAN

INFO AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 194719 LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 194719

ATHENS FOR RTDO

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ETRD, XF, US

SUBJECT: ARAB BOYCOTT: TRADE IMPACT OF ANTI-BOYCOTT LEGISLATION.

- 1. OUR TASK OF PROMOTING EXPORTS OF US GOODS AND SERVICES TO THE IMPORTANT ARAB MARKET WAS COMPLICATED IN SEVERAL RESPECTS BY 1977 EXPORT ADMINISTRATION ACT AMENDMENTS AND THEIR IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS OF JANUARY 1978. WHILE THE REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN IN EFFECT ONLY A SHORT TIME, STATE/COMMERCE CONSIDER IT IMPORTANT TO DEVELOP A MEASURE OF THE IMPACT OF THE EAA ANTI-BOYCOTT REGULATIONS UPON U.S. TRADE WITH THE BOYCOTTING COUNTRIES.
- 2. AS THERE ARE MANY VARIABLES AND CONDITIONS APART FROM BOYCOTT CONSIDERATIONS THAT MAY AFFECT TRADE RESULTS OVER ANY PERIOD OF TIME, IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO BE PRECISE ABOUT THE TRADE IMPACT OF THE EAA AMENDMENTS. BUT FIELD POSTS ARE IN POSITION TO ACQUIRE A WIDE SELECTION OF CASE HISTORIES, DATA AND INFORMED OPINIONS TO AID IN ANALYZING THIS SUBJECT. ALREADY A NUMBER OF POSTS HAVE REPORTED

SPECIFIC INSTANCES OF BUSINESS CONTRACTS THAT APPEAR TO HAVE BEEN AFFECTED DUE TO THE EAA ANTI-BOYCOTT PROVISIONS WHICH HAVE RESTRAINED U.S. FIRMS FROM GOING ALL OUT TO SEEK NEW BUSINESS. OTHER MESSAGES HAVE SPOKEN OF POSSIBLE NEW BLACKLISTINGS BECAUSE OF INABILITY OF U.S. FIRMS TO PROVIDE INFORMATION REQUESTED BY HOST GOVERNMENTS OR LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 194719

THE CENTRAL BOYCOTT OFFICE IN DAMASCUS, AND ON ACCOUNT OF COMPANY DECISIONS TO REFRAIN FROM SEEKING NEW BUSINESS SIMPLY BECAUSE OF UNCERTAINTIES ABOUT RUNNING AFOUL OF THE NEW U.S. LEGISLATION. ALL THESE REPORTS ARE APPRECIATED AND ARE HELPFUL IN GAINING AN EARLY UNDER-

STANDING ABOUT THE TRADE EFFECTS OF NEW ANTI-BOYCOTT LEGISLATION.

- 3. APART FROM UTILITY TO STATE/COMMERCE ANALYSES, INFORMATION IS NEEDED TO ANSWER INCREASING NUMBER OF INQUIRIES FROM PRIVATE AND CONGRESSIONAL SOURCES RE TRADE IMPACT OF NEW LEGISLATION. HOPEFULLY, AS WE REFINE OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE TRADE IMPACT, WE WILL BE ABLE TO PROMOTE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW AND REGULATIONS BY THE GOVERNMENTS OF THE BOYCOTTING COUNTRIES. THIS MAY, IN TURN, HELP FOSTER FURTHER PRAGMATIC ACCOMMODATIONS ON THEIR PART TO THE RULES NOW IMPOSED ON AMERICAN COMMERCIAL FIRMS.
- 4. THEREFORE, ADDRESSEES ARE ASKED TO PROVIDE CABLE ASSESSMENTS OF THE IMPACT OF THE EAA AMENDMENTS UPON US TRADE AND SERVICES, AND US COMMERICAL PARTICIPATION GENERALLY,

IN THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNTRIES OR JURISDICTIONS. WOULD APPRECIATE OVERALL SUMMARY PLUS INFORMATION ON ANY SPECIFIC CASES KNOWN TO POSTS, AS WELL AS POSTS' ASSESSMENT OF THE SOURCE OF THAT INFORMATION. IN ADDITION, WE NEED TO KNOW PRECISELY WHICH INFORMATION MAY BE PUBLICLY DISCLOSED IN RESPONSE TO CONGRESSIONAL AND OTHER INQUIRIES ABOUT THE TRADE IMPACT. WHILE WE HAVE NO PRESCRIBED FORMAT TO SUGGEST, PLEASE KEEP IN MIND THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS OF SUBJECT IN FULFILLING THIS REQUEST.

(A) WHICH SPECIFIC PROHIBITIONS UNDER THE EAA ARE HAVING THE GREATEST IMPACT ON TRADE? WHICH ONES SEEM TO BE ONLY OF MARGINAL CONSEQUENCE? WHICH ONES ARE PROVING THE MOST DIFFICULT FOR HOST GOVERNMENTS TO COMPREHEND AND TO CONLIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 194719

SIDER ADJUSTING THEIR OWN PROCEDURES SO AS TO AVOID PENALIZING AMERICAN FIRMS?

- (B) HOW WOULD YOU ASSESS THE ATTITUDES OF YOUR HOST GOVERN -MENTS TOWARD ADAPTING THEIR BUSINESS PRACTICES TO THE NEW REQUIREMENTS OF US LAWS, AND THE DEGREE OF REAL PROGRESS IF ANY TOWARD THIS ACCOMMODATION?
- (C) IS "UNILATERAL SELECTION" BECOMING WIDELY USED BY HOST GOVERNMENTS IN BUSINESS DEALINGS WITH US FIRMS?
- (D) DOES THE DEGREE OF IMPACT OF ANTI-BOYCOTT LEGISLATION SEEM TO VARY ACCORDING TO THE RELATIVE SIZE OF THE US FIRM OR FIRMS POTENTIALLY INVOLVED IN TRANSACTIONS?
- (E) WHAT, IF ANY, EARLY REACTION ARE US FIRMS OPERATING IN YOUR AREA SHOWING TO THE RECENTLY ANNOUNCED ANTI-BOYCOTT REPORTING REGULATIONS (STATE 168724)?
- (F) WHAT, IF ANY, EVIDENCE IS THERE TO SUBSTANTIATE
 THE FEAR THAT COMPETING THIRD-COUNTRY FIRMS OR EVEN
 GOVERNMENTS MIGHT SPREAD FALSE ACCUSATIONS AGAINST US
 COMPANIES, LEADING TO BOYCOTT OFFICE QUESTIONS TO WHICH
 OUR LEGISLATION WOULD PROHIBIT REPLIES?
- (G) ARE THE UNCERTAINTIES AND OVERLAPPING PROHIBITIONS OF US LAWS AND REGULATIONS, AND DOUBT ABOUT THEIR APPLICATION TO SPECIFIC ACTS OR OMISSIONS, IN THEMSELVES INHIBITING US EXPORTS?
- (H) IN TERMS OF ACTUAL TRADE EXPERIENCE, WHAT IS THE EARLY IMPACT OF THE REVISED (JANUARY 1978) TREASURY ANTI-BOYCOTT GUIDELINES UNDER THE 1976 TAX REFORM ACT? LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 STATE 194719

- (I) CAN ANY MEANINGFUL DOLLAR ESTIMATE BE DEVELOPED REFLECTIVE OF THE IMPACT OUR ANTI-BOYCOTT REGULATIONS MAY BE HAVING ON EXPORTS OF GOODS AND SERVICES?
- 5. INTERIM REPORTS ARE REQUESTED BY AUGUST 15. REFERENCES MAY BE MADE TO PREVIOUS REPORTS, IF APPLICABLE, TO AVOID NEEDLESS REPETITION, BUT PREVIOUSLY REPORTED CASES SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN SUMMARY REQUESTED IN PARA 4. INFORMATION DEVELOPED AFTER SUBMISSION OF INTERIM REPORT SHOULD BE SUBMITTED ON A REGULAR, NON-SCHEDULED BASIS TOGETHER WITH POSTS' ANALYSIS, AS APPROPRIATE. VANCE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 jan 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: ARABS, BOYCOTTS, LEGISLATIVE BILLS

Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 02 aug 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014 Disposition Event: Disposition Event:
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE194719
Document Source: CORD

Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: WDWOLLE:KMS Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: N/A **Expiration:**

Film Number: D780316-0845

Format: TEL From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t197808106/aaaadlbf.tel

Line Count: 187 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: 01fa8a58-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc Office: ORIGIN NEA

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 05 may 2005 Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:**

Review Release Date: N/A Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 1558448 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: ARAB BOYCOTT: TRADE IMPACT OF ANTI-BOYCOTT LEGISLATION.

TAGS: ETRD, XF, US
To: ABU DHABI ALGIERS MULTIPLE

Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/01fa8a58-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014

Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014